

# Item No. 12

Meeting Date

Wednesday 8<sup>th</sup> December 2021

# Glasgow City Integration Joint Board Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee

| Report By: | Sharon Wearing, Chief Officer Finance & Resources |
|------------|---|
| Contact:   | Allison Eccles, Head of Business Development      |
| Phone:     | 0141 287 6724                                     |

### Risk Management Quarterly Update – Q2 2021/22

| Purpose of Report:     | <ul> <li>The purpose of this report is to provide an update to the IJB Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee on the status of the risk registers being maintained within the Glasgow City Health and Social Care Partnership.</li> <li>This report covers the review carried out in October 2021 in respect of changes to risk in the quarter ending September 2021.</li> </ul> |
|------------------------|---|
| Background/Engagement: | The risk registers maintained within the<br>Partnership are required to be regularly reviewed   |

| Background/Engagement: | Partnership are required to be regularly reviewed<br>and updated by the relevant risk owners and risk<br>managers and reported to this Committee on a<br>quarterly basis. |
|------------------------|---|
|------------------------|---|

| Recommendations: | The IJB Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee is asked to:   |
|------------------|--|
|                  | <ul> <li>a) note this report; and</li> <li>b) note the current highest risks on the<br/>Integration Joint Board, Social Care and<br/>Health Risk Registers.</li> </ul> |

Relevance to Integration Joint Board Strategic Plan:

Risks to the delivery of the Strategic Plan are identified in the IJB Risk Register

# Implications for Health and Social Care Partnership:

| Reference to National Health | The maintenance of a risk management framework within   |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| & Wellbeing Outcome:         | the Partnership aligns with Outcome 9 (Resources are<br>used effectively and efficiently in the provision of health<br>and social care services). |  |  |  |  |  |
|                              |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personnel:                   | Risks with a potential impact on staff are identified in the risk registers.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carers:                      | N/A   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provider Organizations       | Picks in relation to Provider Organizations are identified in   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provider Organisations:      | Risks in relation to Provider Organisations are identified in the risk registers.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equalities:                  | N/A   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                              |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fairer Scotland Compliance:  | N/A   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial:                   | Risks with a potential financial impact are identified in the   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                              | risk registers.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Legal:                       | Risks with a potential legal impact are identified in the risk registers.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Economic Impact:             | N/A   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                              |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sustainability:              | N/A   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sustainable Procurement and  | N/A   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Article 19:                  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Risk Implications:           | N/A   |  |  |  |  |  |

| Risk Implications: | N/A |
|--------------------|-----|
|                    |     |
|                    |     |

| Implications for Glasgow City | Risk implications to Glasgow City Council are detailed in |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Council:                      | the Social Care risk register                             |  |  |  |  |  |

| Implications for NHS Greater | Risk implications to NHS GGC are detailed in the Health |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Glasgow & Clyde:             | risk register   |  |  |  |  |  |

### 1. Purpose

- 1.1. The purpose of this report is to provide an update to the IJB Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee on the status of the risk registers being maintained within the Glasgow City Health and Social Care Partnership.
- 1.2. This report covers the review carried out in October 2021 in respect of changes to risk in the quarter from 1 July 2021 to 30 September 2021.

### 2. Integration Joint Board Risk Register

- 2.1. The Integration Joint Board Risk Register is maintained, updated and reported in line with the Glasgow City IJB Risk Management Policy.
- 2.2. There were no existing risks where the current risk level increased or decreased during Q2
- 2.3. There were no risks added or removed from this risk register during Q2
- 2.4. At the conclusion of the October 2021 review there were **10** live risks on the register, with **2** risks having a current risk level of 'Very High', **5** risks with a risk level of 'High', **2** risk with a risk level of 'Medium' and **1** risk with a risk level of 'Low'
- 2.5. All risks with a current risk level of 'Very High' or 'High' are reviewed every quarter, and are shown in Appendix A
- 2.6. Risks with a current risk level of 'Medium' or 'Low' can be reviewed less regularly in line with the risk management policy. All of these were reviewed this quarter.
- 2.7. The next quarterly review of the IJB Risk Register is scheduled to be carried out in January 2022.

### 3. Social Care Risk Register

- 3.1. The Social Care Risk Register is maintained, updated and reported in line with the Glasgow City Council Risk Management Policy and Guidance. It should be noted that the Council uses different criteria for risk scoring, therefore risks assessed as Very High by the Council may not be by the IJB and NHS GGC.
- 3.2. There were two new risks added to the register in Q2.
  - Ref 1667: Alcohol and Drug Recovery Services DAISy Assessments. This risk is 'High' and has been added due to the Carefirst to DAISy (Drug and Alcohol Information System) interface still being developed and the interim arrangement to carry out the data transfer is a resource intensive manual process. As the data is reportable to the Scottish Government within a set deadline then there is a risk of not completing in a timely manner or that data held on the systems is out of sync.
  - Ref 1614: EquipU supply and stock issues. This risk has been added as 'Very High' as this risk is currently occurring. The impact of COVID 19 and Brexit has led to freight costs increasing significantly, shortage of raw materials and shortage of HGV drivers.

- 3.3. There have been no risks removed from this register during Q2.
- 3.4. No risks on this register increased during Q2.
- 3.5. One risk decreased during Q2:
  - Ref 546: Disruption to HSCP services. The control actions for this risk have been updated with the current business continuity management arrangements within the HSCP. The residual likelihood of this risk occurring has been reduced from 5 (almost certain) to 4 (likely) to reflect the amount of contingency planning activity that has taken place in the HSCP during 2021, particularly ahead of the COP26 event. The risk remains as Very High, but at the lower end of this rating.
- 3.6. At the conclusion of the October 2021 review there were **31** 'live' risks on the register, with **15** risks having a current risk level of 'Very High, **7** risks with a risk level of High, **6** with a risk level of Medium and **3** risks with a risk level of 'Low'.
- 3.7. All risks with a current risk level of 'Very High' or 'High' are reviewed every quarter, and displayed in Appendix A
- 3.8. Items with a current risk level of 'Medium' or 'Low' can be reviewed less regularly in line with the risk management policy. All of these items were reviewed this quarter.
- 3.9. The next quarterly review of the Social Care Risk Register is scheduled to be carried out in January 2022.

#### 4. Health Risk Register

- 4.1. The Glasgow City Health Risk Register is currently maintained, updated and reported in line with the NHS GGC Risk Management Policy, and collates the most significant risks as identified in locality and service risk registers. The register was last reviewed in September 2021.
- 4.2. There were no risks added or removed to the Health Risk Register since the last Quarterly review.
- 4.3. There were no risks where the current risk level increased since the last Quarterly review.
- 4.4. There was one risk where the current level decreased since the last Quarterly review.
  - *Ref 2918 Waiting time vasectomy:* has been decreased from 'Very High' to 'Moderate'. There are now six clinics per week and the service is catching up with patient backlog.
- 4.5. The risks on the Health Risk Register that were 'Very High' and 'High' after the September 2021 review are shown in Appendix A.

4.6. The next quarterly review of the Health Risk Register is scheduled to be carried out January 2022.

### 5. Recommendations

- 5.1. The IJB Finance, Audit and Scrutiny Committee is asked to:
  - a) note this report; and
  - b) note the current highest risks on the Integration Joint Board, Social Care and Health Risk Register.

|     | IJB Risk Register  |   |                   |                  |            |                    |              |   |   |             |             |
|-----|--|---|-------------------|------------------|------------|--------------------|--------------|---|---|-------------|-------------|
|     |  |   |                   |                  |            | -                  | isk Level    |   |   |             | rrer        |
| Ref | Title  | Description of Risk   | Risk Owner        | Consequence<br>S | Likelihood | <b>Risk Rating</b> | Risk Level   | Control Actions   | S | Consequence | - Italihaad |
| 524 | Level of savings<br>required in<br>2019/20 and<br>beyond | RISK: Inability to deliver appropriate level of<br>essential services due to required level of<br>savings CAUSE: Required level of savings in the<br>Budget Service Plan in 2019/20 and beyond<br>EFFECT: Unable to meet demand for services,<br>failing to ensure safety and prevent harm to<br>service user, failing to meet statutory<br>requirements, failing to deliver part or all of the<br>Strategic Plan | Sharon<br>Wearing | 4                | 5          | 20                 | Very<br>High | <ul> <li>Financial position monitored on ongoing basis by SMT, ITB, IJB Finance and Audit committee and full IJB</li> <li>Transformation Programme for the HSCP in place, with a range of programmes identified to support delivery of Strategic Plan within allocated budgets</li> <li>HSCP will actively engage with Partner Bodies in budget planning process for 2019-20 and beyond identifying dependencies and risks associated with any proposals.</li> <li>Impact of responding to COVID-19 on plans for savings and delivery of transformation programmes will continue to be monitored.</li> <li>A financial tracker on covid-19 related expenditure (as part of the Local Mobilisation Plan) is updated on a 4 weekly basis, and submitted to the Scottish Government every 4 weeks.</li> </ul>  | 4 | 5           | 5 2         |
| 943 | Delivery of 2020-<br>21 Savings<br>Targets               | RISK: Unable to deliver 2020-21 savings targets<br>CAUSE: Due to key resources being diverted to<br>responding to COVID-19 and the impact COVID-<br>19 is also having on demand, areas targeted for<br>delivery of savings in 2020-21 are now at risk<br>EFFECT: Savings targets will not be deliverable<br>resulting in overspends occurring in 2020-21 and<br>beyond  | Sharon<br>Wearing | 4                | 5          | 20                 | Very<br>High | <ul> <li>Financial position monitored on ongoing basis by SMT, ITB,<br/>IJB Finance and Audit committee and full IJB</li> <li>Delivery of savings will continue to be tarcked and<br/>monitored by the Transformation Programme Board</li> <li>HSCP will actively engage with the Scottish Government on<br/>the impact of responding to COVID-19</li> <li>Impact of responding to COVID-19 on plans for savings<br/>and delivery of transformation programmes will continue to<br/>be monitored</li> </ul>   | 4 | 4           | 4 2         |
| 512 | Delivery of<br>Strategic Plan<br>within budget           | RISK: The IJB is unable to budget within<br>allocated resources CAUSE: Cost of delivery is<br>higher than budgeted resources made available<br>EFFECT: The IJB is unable to deliver on the<br>Strategic Plan  | Sharon<br>Wearing | 4                | 5          | 20                 | Very<br>High | <ul> <li>The Integration Scheme details the actions to be taken in<br/>the event of this and furthermore the contingency<br/>arrangements should parent bodies be unable/unwilling to<br/>provide additional funding</li> <li>Transformation Programme for the HSCP in place, with a<br/>range of programmes identified to support delivery of<br/>Strategic Plan within allocated budgets</li> <li>Governance / reporting mechanism for Transformation<br/>Programme in development</li> <li>Financial position monitored on ongoing basis by SMT, IJB<br/>Finance and Audit committee and full IJB</li> <li>The impact of responding to COVID-19 on delvery of the<br/>Strategic Plan will continue to be assessed.</li> <li>As part of the business continuity management response to<br/>the covid-19 pandemic the HSCP has established additional<br/>governance arrangements to ensure senior management<br/>retain appropriate oversight and decision making capacity.<br/>As well as existing SMT and Core Leadership arrangements,<br/>the HSCP has increased the frequency of Executive<br/>Management meetings (initially to daily, now twice weekly)<br/>and has expended membership of this group to include key<br/>officers. A Local Resilience Management Team was<br/>established at the beginning of lockdown as the escalation<br/>point for operational issues within the HSCP, and this<br/>included representation from Trade Unions and Staffside. As<br/>the response moved to recovery planning the LRMT was<br/>replaced by the Operational Recovery Group. This group<br/>reviews and approves all recovery plans for services and<br/>again has Trade Union and Staffside representation. Each<br/>care group has established Contingency/Emergency<br/>Planning meetings with their senior staff which meet</li> </ul> | 4 |             | 4           |

| ent F              | Risk Level   |                                  |
|--------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>Risk Rating</b> | Risk Level   | Latest Update                    |
| 20                 | Very<br>High | October 2021 - No change to risk |
| 20                 | Very<br>High | October 2021 - No change to risk |
| 16                 | High         | October 2021 - No change to risk |

|     | IJB Risk Register   |   |                   |                  |            |                    |            |  |   |             |            |           |
|-----|---|---|-------------------|------------------|------------|--------------------|------------|--|---|-------------|------------|-----------|
|     |   |   |                   |                  |            | al Ri              | isk Level  |  |   |             | urre       | ər        |
| Ref | Title   | Description of Risk   | Risk Owner        | Consequence<br>S | Likelihood | <b>Risk Rating</b> | Risk Level | Control Actions  | • | Consequence | Likelihood | Non Namis |
| 513 | Resources<br>required for<br>integration                        | RISK: The organisation cannot support the volume of resource required to establish effective integrated arrangements CAUSE: Staff and key individuals with existing operational roles and responsibilities are diverted to integration activities. Further to this, there is a challenge to recruit staff EFFECT: Existing organisational priorities and delivery are delayed or compromised, resulting in not delivering Strategic Plan  | Sharon<br>Wearing | 4                |            | 16                 |            | <ul> <li>Workload and resource monitoring continues to be<br/>undertaken across the partnership (for example, through one-<br/>to-one supervision)</li> <li>Ongoing review of support (including work undertaken and<br/>resources being used) required for integrated arrangements</li> <li>Workforce Planning sub-group meets fortnightly to review<br/>workforce planning matters (including requests to fill<br/>vacancies)</li> <li>Implications of the need to re-divert resources to respond to<br/>COVID-19 on the ability to continue progress on integration<br/>of services will continue to be monitored.</li> <li>As part of the business continuity management response to<br/>the covid-19 pandemic the HSCP has established additional<br/>governance arrangements to ensure senior management<br/>retain appropriate oversight and decision making capacity.<br/>As well as existing SMT and Core Leadership arrangements,<br/>the HSCP has increased the frequency of Executive<br/>Management meetings (initially to daily, now twice weekly)<br/>and has expended membership of this group to include key<br/>officers. A Local Resilience Management Team was<br/>established at the beginning of lockdown as the escalation<br/>point for operational issues within the HSCP, and this<br/>included representation from Trade Unions and Staffside. As<br/>the response moved to recovery planning the LRMT was<br/>replaced by the Operational Recovery Group. This group<br/>reviews and approves all recovery plans for services and<br/>again has Trade Union and Staffside representation. Each<br/>care group has established Contingency/Emergency<br/>Planning meetings with their senior staff which meet<br/>regularly. All additional emergency governance<br/>arrangements that have been put in place are line with, and</li> </ul> | 4 | 4 4         | 4          |           |
| 934 | Deliverability of<br>Primary Care<br>Improvement<br>Plan (PCIP) | RISK: Failure to deliver transformation of<br>Primary Care services as specified in the<br>Primary Care Improvement Plan (PCIP)<br>CAUSE: Affordability, shortage of resources<br>(qualified staff, suitable accommodation), lack of<br>appropriate digital solution to support plan,<br>unable to mainatin sustainability, unable to<br>quantify evidence of impact<br>EFFECT: Impact on the delivery of the IJB's<br>Strategic Plan and priorities resulting in negative<br>impact on service users and patients and<br>possible reputational or financial impact to the<br>LIB | Susanne Millar    | 4                | 4          | 16                 | High       | A number of measures being taken to mitigate the lack of<br>qualified staff include:<br>Phasing recruitment<br>Making local vacancy approval processes more efficient<br>Developing alternative skill mix models<br>Recruiting into trainee posts and supportung less<br>experienced staff to obtain necessary experience.   | 4 | 4 4         | 4          |           |

| ent Risk Level     |            |                                  |
|--------------------|------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>Risk Rating</b> | Risk Level | Latest Update                    |
| 16                 | High       | October 2021 - No change to risk |
|                    |            |                                  |
| 16                 | High       | October 2021 - No change to risk |

|   |    |  |  |            |                                |                    |            | IJB Risk Register  |                  |       |                    |            |                                  |
|---|----|--|--|------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|------------|--|------------------|-------|--------------------|------------|----------------------------------|
|   |    |  |  |            | Init                           | tial Ri            | sk Level   |  | C                | Curre | ent R              | lisk Level |                                  |
| F | ef | Title  | Description of Risk  | Risk Owner | Likelihood<br>Consequence<br>s | <b>Risk Rating</b> | Risk Level | Control Actions  | Consequence<br>s |       | <b>Risk Rating</b> | Risk Level | Latest Update                    |
| ç |    | Implications of<br>Responding to<br>COVID-19 | RISK: The organisation does not receive<br>sufficient funding to fully meet the costs of<br>responding to COVID-19 CAUSE: If Scottish<br>Government funding is not received at the IJB's<br>assessed required level. EFFECT: If full funding<br>is not received this will impact on the funding<br>available to deliver on the IJB's Strategic Plan<br>and the delivery of core services to service users. | Wearing    | 4 4                            | 16                 | High       | <ul> <li>All costs associated with responding to COVID-19 are being tracked</li> <li>IJB is actively engaging with Scottish Government and providing regular updates on the associated costs</li> <li>Governance arrangements are in place re approval and monitoring of costs</li> <li>IJB is actively engaging with third and independent sector in relation to their associated costs.</li> <li>A financial tracker on covid-19 related expenditure (as part of the Local Mobilisation Plan) is updated on a 4 weekly basis, and submitted to the Scottish Government every 4 weeks.</li> <li>Scottish Government Covid funding received for 2020/21</li> </ul> | 3                | 4     | 12                 | High       | October 2021 - No change to risk |

|      |   |   |                |                           |             |               | Social Care Risk Register  |   |            |    |               |                                  |
|------|---|---|----------------|---------------------------|-------------|---------------|--|---|------------|----|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Ref  | Title   | Description of Risk   | Risk Owner     |                           | tial Risk   |               | Control Actions  |   |            |    |               | Latest Update                    |
|      |   |   |                | Likelihood<br>Consequence | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |  |   | Likelihood |    | Risk<br>Level |                                  |
| 1596 | Mental Health Officer<br>shortage                     | RISK: Pressure on MHO activity due to on-going<br>vacancies and staff turnover<br>CAUSE: Issue due to recruitment authorisation<br>process/PVG/reference checks and is under<br>constant review. Workforce data shows high<br>turnover is impacted by number of staff having<br>MHO status who can retire at 55 and are opting<br>to do so<br>EFFECT: This is likely to continue to impact over<br>next 2 years when all staff with MHO pension<br>status will have gone. Universities only have one<br>intake a year so availability of newly qualified<br>staff is more limited   |                | 5 5                       | 25          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>MHO pressures in Social Work, this is due to increased demand and ability to recruit</li> <li>We are encouraging existing staff to undertake MHO training and attempting to recruit additional staff and reviewing our duty system</li> </ul>   | 5 | 5          | 25 | Very<br>High  | October 2021 - No change to risk |
| 559  | Impact of Welfare<br>Reform on demand<br>for services | RISK: There is an increased demand for social<br>work services due to Welfare Reform, COVID19<br>related financial hardship and the roll-out of new<br>Scottish Benefits.<br>CAUSE: Implementation of welfare reforms<br>including benefit cap and universal credit.<br>Financial hardship related to COVID19.<br>Knowledge deficit around new Scottish Benefits<br>EFFECT: Increased deprivation for citizens,<br>reduced ability to meet demands on our services  | Susanne Millar | 5 5                       | 25          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Contribution to the corporate welfare reform group and<br/>benefit cap working group.</li> <li>Effective communications with service users and other<br/>stakeholders</li> <li>Welfare Rights advice and support given to 437 referrals<br/>from those who were shielding because of COVID19.</li> <li>Process of engaging with service users who have Social<br/>Security tribunals enhanced to prepare and support them<br/>with the COVID19 move to video and telephone hearings.</li> <li>Welfare Reform training delivered to HSCP staff and 3rd<br/>sector and provided via Microsoft Teams.</li> <li>Training on Social Security system and referral pathways<br/>given to Glasgow Helps staff supporting those struggling<br/>financially due to COVID19.</li> <li>Monthly briefings on Universal Credit sent to Welfare Rights<br/>Officers/Money Advisors.</li> <li>Training widely provided on changes impacting EEA<br/>Nationals and scope and timetable of roll-out of new Scottish<br/>Benefits</li> </ul> |   | 5          | 20 | Very<br>High  | October 2021 - No change to risk |
| 567  | Abuse Inquiry   | RISK: that the Scottish Child Abuse Inquiry could<br>result in adverse legal, financial, reputational and<br>operational impacts to the Service. CAUSE:<br>These could arise from: - being unable to provide<br>historical information requested by the Inquiry<br>being perceived as the Service being ineffective<br>or deliberately obstructive - the level of resources<br>required to provide an appropriate response to<br>the Inquiry's initial information request not being<br>available/sustainable without impact on business<br>as usual activity - an increase in claims for<br>compensaton being made due to increased<br>media coverage of the Inquiry's processes - staff<br>and service users required to provide evidence<br>experiencing an adverse emotional impact as a<br>result of recalling experiences which were, or<br>perceived to be, traumatic. EFFECT:<br>Reputational damage, financial/cost implications |                | 4 5                       | 20          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Internal team established to manage our input to the<br/>Inquiry. This team will liaise with the PR office accordingly.</li> <li>Internal team includes legal representatives in order that we<br/>manage any claims.</li> <li>Ongoing monitoring and review of resources utilised to<br/>facilitate the Inquiry.</li> <li>Existing employee support mechanisms through HR.</li> <li>Existing health and social care support services for service<br/>users.</li> </ul>   |   | 5          | 20 | Very<br>High  | October 2021 - No change to risk |

|     | -  | -   |                   |                                |             |               | Social Care Risk Register   |               |            |             |               |  |
|-----|--|---|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|---------------|---|---------------|------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| Ref | Title                                      | Description of Risk   | Risk Owner        |                                | tial Risk   |               | Control Actions   |               |            |             | k Level       | Latest Update  |
|     |  |   |                   | Likelihood<br>Consequence<br>s | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |   | Solianhasiica | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |  |
| 569 | Unsuitability or failure<br>of ICT systems | RISK: ICT systems used by SWS, and in<br>particular ex-Cordia systems, for the delivery of<br>statutory duties are not fit for purpose or are<br>bespoke and not maintained<br>CAUSE:Glasgow City Council arrangements with<br>CGI for the provision of ICT don't meet the<br>specific needs of Social Work Services, ex-<br>Cordia IT staff now in CGI are moved to non-<br>Cordia system work or leave the organisation<br>resulting in loss of expertise and system<br>knowledge.<br>EFFECT: impact on delivery of statutory duties,<br>service users/public/vulnerable people come to<br>harm, significant reputational, financial and<br>operational harm to the organisation, efficiency<br>savings become more difficult to achieve. | Allison Eccles    | 4 5                            | 20          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>The Strategic Innovation and Technology Team (SIT) has<br/>been established by GCC to oversee the contract with CGI.</li> <li>An HSCP Business Partner to SIT has been appointed.</li> <li>The contract is based on Output Based Specifications<br/>(OBSs) and there are OBSs for key systems (including<br/>careFirst, iWorld and LS/CMI) and all other ICT provision.</li> <li>There is a transformation programme to significantly<br/>improve a number of technologies. The Governance<br/>structures for ICT and Digital are being reviewed in light of<br/>the change in ICT contract and HSCP requirements.</li> <li>An interim business case has been approved for a<br/>replacement for careFirst which has systems for Home Care<br/>in scope, these being prioritised for implementation.</li> </ul>  | 4             | 5          | 20          | Very<br>High  | October 2021 - No change to risk   |
| 546 | Disruption to HSCP<br>services             | RISK: Failure of, or disruption to, facilities,<br>infrastructure or staff affecting delivery of<br>mainstream and out-of-hours services.<br>CAUSE: Exceptional, one-off and unexpected<br>events leading to loss of staff, loss of building,<br>loss of access to building, loss of supplier or loss<br>of infrastructure.<br>EFFECT: service users across the city don't<br>receive a service for a period of time that leads<br>to reputational, financial, legal and/or public<br>protection impact.  | Susanne Millar    |                                |             | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Industrial Relations Strategy in place</li> <li>Monthly meetings at Director level with senior Trade Union officials</li> <li>Business Continuity Reps identified in each service area</li> <li>The HSCP Business Continuity Forum is chaired by the Council's BCC Champion (Head of Business Development) and is attended by representatives from all HSCP services. The Forum is currently meeting monthly in advance of COP26 scheduled for November 2020.</li> <li>Business Impact Analyses have been reviewed and completed across the HSCP</li> <li>Business Continuity Plans for localities have been reviewed and completed across the HSCP</li> <li>Executive Group has assumed role of SIMT and has increased frequency since March 2020 in response to the covid-19 pandemic and the impact on services.</li> <li>As part of the business continuity management response to the covid-19 pandemic to ensure senior management retain appropriate oversight and decision making capacity. As well as existing SMT and Core Leadership arrangements, the HSCP has increased the frequency of Executive Management meetings (initially to daily, now twice weekly) and has expended membership of this group to include key officers. A Local Resilience Management Team was established at the beginning of lockdown as the escalation point for operational issues within the HSCP, and this included representation from Trade Unions and Staffside. As the response moved to recovery planning the LRMT was replaced by the Operational Recovery Group. This group reviews and approves all recovery plans for services and</li> </ul> |               | 4          | 16          | Very<br>High  | October 2021 - Residual likelihood has been reduced from 5 (almost<br>certain) to 4 (likely) to reflect work undertaken by the HSCP BC Forum in<br>2021, in particular contingency planning activity ahead of the COP26<br>event. This will be reviewed again in Quarter 3 |
| 552 | Plan and service                           | RISK: the Department's service reform and<br>Budget and Service Plan programmes fail to<br>deliver the required outcomes in terms of<br>delivery of statutory duties; service<br>modernisation and financial savings.<br>CAUSE:<br>EFFECT: necessitating potential drastic and<br>unplanned cuts in order to realise the savings<br>requirements thereby leaving services and<br>service users vulnerable.  | Sharon<br>Wearing | 4 5                            | 20          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Fortnightly Integration Transformation Board meetings</li> <li>Weekly Executive Group meetings to approve critical progress issues</li> <li>CSWO led SMT's in both Adult and Children and family Services review and progress</li> <li>Performance Management Framework incorporating Citywide, local and care group performance reporting</li> <li>Regular planned and structured liaison with providers re: changes</li> <li>Service User engagement</li> <li>Trade Union liaison at strategic and local levels</li> </ul>   | 4             | 4          | 16          | Very<br>High  | October 2021 - No change to risk   |

|     |  |   |                      |             |             |               | Social Care Risk Register  |             |            |             |               |   |
|-----|--|---|----------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|--|-------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---|
| Ref | Title  | Description of Risk   | Risk Owner           |             | nitial Ris  | _             | Control Actions  |             |            |             | k Level       | Latest Update   |
|     |  |   |                      | Consequence | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |  | Sousedneuce | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |   |
| 568 | Workforce<br>planning/reduction              | RISK: that reduced staffing levels and loss of<br>skilled staff might compromise the Service's<br>ability to deliver services and carry out its<br>statutory duties, including: - Services to LA and<br>LAAC children; - MHO duties; - Duties under S22<br>of the Children Scotland Act 1995; - Provision of<br>children's hearings reports and reports to Court; -<br>Duties in relation to Adults with Incapacity<br>legislation; - Duties in relation to S12 of the<br>Social Work Scotland Act 1968.<br>CAUSE: number of vacant posts. turnover of<br>staff, length of time taken to recruit staff across<br>both GCC and NHS GGC<br>EFFECT: service users not receiving services<br>they're entitled to, and which leaves them at<br>increased risk. | Susanne Millar       | 4           | 5 20        | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Trade Union liaison at strategic and local levels.</li> <li>HSCP Workforce Planning Sub-group and Board chaired<br/>by Chief Officer (Finance &amp; Resources) which feeds directly<br/>into the Executive Group and Leadership Team. It comprises<br/>Chief Officer (Planning, Strategy &amp; Commissioning/CSWO),<br/>Chief Officer (Planning, Strategy &amp; Commissioning/CSWO)<br/>advises group of any potential risks in relation to staffing<br/>reductions.</li> <li>Local performance management and supervision systems<br/>in place.</li> <li>Workforce planning arrnagements for care groups being<br/>finalised.</li> <li>Training and development programme for MHOs in place.</li> <li>New AWI protocols agreed at HSCP and SWS Governance<br/>Groups</li> <li>Regular updated workforce planning monitoring reports (by<br/>Locality) for all care groups in place.</li> </ul>   | 4           | 4          | 16          | Very<br>High  | October 2021 - No change to risk  |
| 566 | Loss of access to<br>VISOR                   | RISK: Service loses access to Visor<br>CAUSE: changes to the vetting requirements for<br>new and existing VISOR users at a national level<br>which are incompatible with current recruitment<br>and employment policies<br>EFFECT: the service is less able to manage<br>offenders who pose high risk of serious harm to<br>the public, with subsequent legal and<br>reputational on the service.   | Susanne Millar       | 4           | 4 16        | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Issue highlighted to Glasgow's Public Protection Chief<br/>Officers Group</li> <li>Impact report completed by Social Work Scotland and<br/>further national work under consideration</li> <li>Legal advice taken by HR advising no change to<br/>recruitment or employment policies</li> <li>Sufficient staff currently vetted and able to make use of<br/>system in collaboration with MAPPA partners and<br/>responsible authorities</li> </ul>   | 4           | 4          | 16          | Very<br>High  | October 2021 - No change to risk score. Update made to the description<br>and mitigation and control due to current situation around VISOR. |
| 590 | Increased Care<br>Services absence<br>levels | RISK: If staff absence rates increase beyond<br>target levels then staffing levels will become<br>critical<br>CAUSE: Staff absence levels. Risk is enhanced<br>due to ageing profile of workforce, the equal pay<br>settlement and the impact of covid-19 including<br>staff required to shield or self-isolate.<br>EFFECT: Impact on capacity to deliver services,<br>impact to financial budgets to achieve<br>acceptable levels of service delivery.   | Frances<br>McMeeking | 4           | 4 16        | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Attendance Managment team established within HR. This team details all aspects of absence management, including the control and recording of all data in relation to absence.</li> <li>Management Information Systems detail reports to cover all aspects of absence management process. In addition, case reviews are held regularly.</li> <li>Management of Absence Action Plan plots progress in developments in this area and is reviewed annually.</li> <li>Heads of Service have established an attendance management group to review strategy and recommend updates and improvments with target for action plan</li> <li>Full briefing on new absence policy has been delivered via Toolbox Talks with supervisory and management response to the covid-19 pandemic the HSCP has established additional governance arrangements to ensure senior management retain appropriate oversight and decision making capacity. As well as existing SMT and Core Leadership arrangements, the HSCP has increased the frequency of Executive Management meetings (initially to daily, now twice weekly) and has expended membership of this group to include key officers. A Local Resilience Management Team was established at the beginning of lockdown as the escalation point for operational issues within the HSCP, and this included representation from Trade Unions and Staffside. As the response moved to recovery planning the LRMT was replaced by the Operational Recovery Group. This group</li> </ul> |             | 4          | 16          | Very<br>High  | October 2021 - No change to risk  |

|     |   |   |                |                           |             |               | Social Care Risk Register  |              |            |             |               |                                  |
|-----|---|---|----------------|---------------------------|-------------|---------------|--|--------------|------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Ref | Title                                     | Description of Risk   | Risk Owner     |                           | tial Risk   | -             | Control Actions  |              |            | ent Ris     |               | Latest Update                    |
|     |   |   |                | Likelihood<br>Consequence | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |  | Sunachaeilee | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |                                  |
| 553 | Failure of MAPPA<br>arrangements          | RISK: Glasgow MAPPA arrangements fail<br>CAUSE: Procedures not followed; staff not<br>appropriately trained; information security breach<br>EFFECT: risk of harm to Glasgow citizens from<br>registered sex offenders;<br>reputational/legal/financial impact to organisation.  | Susanne Millar | 5 4                       | 20          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>City-wide Criminal Justice SMT continues to meet regularly<br/>to oversee CJ practice.</li> <li>MAPPA Strategic Oversight Group meets every 3 months</li> <li>MAPPA Operational Group meets every 6 weeks</li> <li>MAPPA national guidance</li> <li>Multi agency Risk Register in place and standing item on<br/>the agenda of both meeting structures</li> <li>NASSO meeting every quarter with RSL providers</li> <li>Memorandum of Understanding in place between statutory<br/>agencies and reviewed annually</li> <li>Large scale Hampden event Feb 2020 with key partners<br/>sharing practice Additional training now rolled out</li> </ul>  | 5            | 3          | 15          | Very<br>High  | October 2021 - No change to risk |
| 554 | Failure of Child<br>Protection procedures | RISK: failure in the implementation of Child<br>Protection procedures and arrangements<br>CAUSE: Procedures are ineffective; procedures<br>are not followed; staff not appropriately trained;<br>information security breach; lack of/ineffective<br>governance<br>EFFECT: increased and/or avoidable risk/harm<br>to children and/or young people                                | Susanne Millar | 5 4                       | 20          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Child Protection Committee and sub groups meet regularly</li> <li>Local area CP forums in place</li> <li>Quarterly meeting of Chief Officers group</li> <li>Management information produced and reviewed monthly<br/>at CP Quality Assurance Sub-group</li> <li>1/2 yearly LMR process overseen and coordinated by CP<br/>team</li> <li>ASM structure providing QA, monitoring and objectivity to<br/>local practice</li> <li>Robust single agency and multi agency training programme<br/>in place</li> <li>Weekly contingency planning arrangements with Heads of<br/>Service</li> <li>Home visit guidance issued</li> <li>Weekly data collation illustrating demands/trends</li> </ul>   | 5            | 3          | 15          | Very<br>High  | October 2021 - No change to risk |
| 555 | Failure of Adult<br>Protection procedures | RISK: failure in the implementation of Adult<br>Protection procedures and arrangements<br>CAUSE: Procedures are ineffective; procedures<br>are not followed; staff not appropriately trained;<br>information security breach; lack of/ineffective<br>governance<br>EFFECT: increased or avoidable risk/harm to<br>vulnerable adults; reputational/legal/financial<br>implications | Susanne Millar | 5 4                       | 20          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Adult Protection Committee and sub groups in place</li> <li>Local Area Adult Protection Forums and multi-agency Local<br/>Management Reviews embedded</li> <li>Quarterly meeting of Chief Officers Group</li> <li>ASP management information produced and reviewed<br/>quarterly at Adult Services Core leadership and Older<br/>People's clinical and care governance meetings</li> <li>ASM structure and multi-agency traiing programme in place</li> <li>Quality Assurance sub group of Adult Support and<br/>Protection Committee now in place, which monitors the work<br/>plan and highlights areas for further consideration</li> <li>Home visit guidance issued</li> <li>Weekly data collation illustrating demands/trends</li> </ul> | 5            | 3          | 15          | Very<br>High  | October 2021 - No change to risk |

|     |  |  |                |                           |           |               | Social Care Risk Register  |   |            |             |               |                                  |
|-----|--|--|----------------|---------------------------|-----------|---------------|--|---|------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Ref | Title  | Description of Risk  | Risk Owner     |                           | itial Ris | k Level       | Control Actions  |   |            |             | k Level       | Latest Update                    |
|     |  |  |                | Likelihood<br>Consequence |           | Risk<br>Level |  |   | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |                                  |
| 565 | Financial challenges<br>for external providers | RISK: The financial challenges faced by some<br>provider organisations (in particular those<br>providing sleepovers and those delivering care at<br>a low historical rate - at or below £15.20) and the<br>requirement for them to provide the Scottish<br>Living Wage has the potential to render them<br>financially unviable and result in them exiting the<br>market.<br>CAUSE: Increasing costs on providers due to<br>increasing pension, NLW and SLW and<br>sleepover liabilities coupled with diminishing<br>social care budgets available from contracting<br>authorities. Increased costs due to the COVID-19<br>pandemic.<br>EFFECT: If providers exited the marker service<br>users would be impacted due to enforced<br>change of service provider – potentially with little<br>or no notice. There may not be sufficient<br>availability across other providers and whether<br>they can take the work on at relatively short<br>notice due to the recruitment and retention<br>issues in social care. Where accommodation are<br>support are linked this could result in the service<br>user losing both their home and familiar support.<br>There may be an increased financial cost to the<br>partnership as a result of this market change,<br>there will also be a need for increased care<br>management and commissioning activity. A<br>further potential resource impact is that there will<br>be a need for additional Care Management and | Allison Eccles | 5 3                       | 5 15      | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>We are working closely with provider organisations to monitor impact and ensure continuity of services for our service users.</li> <li>We continue to ensure timeous regular payment to provider organisations - We have offered 3 SLW increases across all of the purchased service contracts on condition of payment of the SLW including for Sleepovers We continue to ensure that the payment of the additional funding for the Scottish Living Wage is made timeously</li> <li>Work is continuing on the rollout of the transformational change programme on overnight supports to support the reduction of the Appeal of legal rulings on sleepover will be considered by legal and the with any necessary actions undertaken.</li> <li>As directed by the Scottish Government, Enhanced Care Home Governance arrangements have been put in place across Greater Glasgow &amp; Clyde, with oversight from a board wide Care Home Assurance Group that reports to both the NHS Strategic Executive Group and a national Care Home Oversight Group. In Glasgow City HSCP an additional Local Care Homes. HSCP Commissioning officers continue to be engaged with providers and are actively involved in the enhanced governance arrangements</li> </ul> | 5 | 3          | 15          | Very<br>High  | October 2021 - No change to risk |

|      |   |   |                |             |             |               | Social Care Risk Register  |   |            |             |               |                                  |
|------|---|---|----------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|--|---|------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Ref  | Title                                     | Description of Risk   | Risk Owner     |             | itial Ris   | -             | Control Actions  |   |            | ent Ris     |               | Latest Update                    |
|      |   |   |                | Consequence | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |  | S | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |                                  |
| 978  | Failure of Provider(s)<br>due to COVID-19 | RISK - Social Care providers significantly<br>impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic and are<br>unable to continue operating.<br>CAUSE- Providers are operating under unique<br>and significantly detrimental conditions including<br>continuity of service being disrupted due to<br>having to focus on priority services only, there<br>are increased infection control measures and<br>associated costs, there is increased staff<br>absence and associated costs, there is reduced<br>availability of back-up staff and maintenance of<br>each service becomes increasingly difficult. In<br>addition the increased reporting pressures are<br>stretching limited resources.<br>EFFECT – Providers may be unable to safely<br>staff services which could lead to risk of harm to<br>service users, and failure of the provider. This<br>could lead to significant financial, legal and<br>reputation harm to the HSCP. | Susanne Millar | 5 3         | 3 15        | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>The HSCP Executive Group is leading the Partnership<br/>response, and enhanced governance arrangements have<br/>been put in place across the HSCP and GGC apply to both<br/>purchased and directly provided care homes.</li> <li>Ongoing adherence to COSLA guidance for commissioners<br/>during this period and financial support being introduced in a<br/>measured way.</li> <li>As directed by the Scottish Government, Enhanced Care<br/>Home Governance arrangements have been put in place<br/>across Greater Glasgow &amp; Clyde, with oversight from a board<br/>wide Care Home Assurance Group.in Glasgow City HSCP an<br/>additional Local Care Homes Group has been established,<br/>chaired by the Chief Officer, this monitors and reviews<br/>information from various sources. HSCP Commissioning<br/>officers continue to be engaged with providers and are<br/>actively involved in the enhanced governance arrangements.</li> <li>As part of the business continuity management response to<br/>the covid-19 pandemic the HSCP firstly established<br/>additional governance arrangements for ensure senior<br/>management retain appropriate oversight and decision<br/>making capacity. A Local Resilience Management Team<br/>was initially established at the beginning of lockdown this has<br/>moved to an Operational Recovery Group. This group<br/>reviews and approves all recovery plans for services and has<br/>Trade Union and Staffside representation. Each care group<br/>has established Contingency/Emergency Planning meetings<br/>with their senior staff which meet regularly. All additional<br/>emergency governance arrangements that have been put in<br/>place are line with, and engage with, emergency command<br/>and control structures that have been established in NHS<br/>GGC and Glasgow City Council.</li> </ul> |   | 3          | 15          | Very<br>High  | October 2021 - No change to risk |
| 1614 | EquipU supply and stock issues            | RISK: There is a risk of delays and disruption to<br>the EquipU supply chains.<br>CAUSES: Impact of COVID-19 and Brexit has<br>led to freight costs increasing significantly,<br>shortages of raw materials and shortage of HGV<br>drivers.<br>EFFECTS: Increased costs, prolonged periods<br>where certain types of equipment are unavailable<br>which could impact on high risk service users in<br>the community and also impact on timey<br>discharges from hospital.   | Wearing        | 3 4         | 5 15        | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>EquipU store service meeting regularly to review the situation.</li> <li>Store has increased stock levels on some items where possible and the EquipU Project Team are researching and sourcing alternative products where possible</li> <li>Store and Council's CPU are regularly engaged with suppliers to establish supply chain stability and contingency plans, as well as in relation to price increase justifications</li> <li>EquipU partners are updated regularly on issues associated with delays and disruption in supply chains.</li> <li>Additional resources have been put into maximising recycled equipment from uplifts and fast-tracking equipment back into available stock</li> <li>EquipU partners are updated regularly on issues associated with delays and disruption in supply chains.</li> <li>EquipU partners have been request to consider prioritisation of affected products when undertaking assessments so service users with most critical needs are met, and also to minimise bulk orders where possible.</li> </ul>   |   | 5          | 15          | Very<br>High  | October 2021 - New risk added.   |

|     |                         |  |                      |                  |            |             |               | Social Care Risk Register  |            |             |
|-----|-------------------------|--|----------------------|------------------|------------|-------------|---------------|--|------------|-------------|
| Ref | Title                   | Description of Risk  | Risk Owner           |                  | Initi      | -           | k Level       | Control Actions  | _          | Cu          |
|     |                         |  |                      | Consequence<br>s | Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |  | Soundation | Consequence |
| 548 | Failure of ICT security | RISK: Loss/misuse/breach of health and social<br>care data within our responsibility<br>CAUSE: IT system security failure, human error,<br>hostile actor (internal or external)<br>EFFECT: breach of confidentiality, a fine from<br>the Information Commissioner, reputational<br>damage, and potential harm to service users<br>affecting public and service user confidence     | Allison Eccles       | 5                | 5          | 25          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Information Security Governance via Information Security<br/>Board.</li> <li>Policies and guidance regularly updated and annual<br/>mandatory training provided via GOLD or leaflet.</li> <li>Information sharing protocol with NHSGG&amp;C has been<br/>updated and circulated for sign-off</li> <li>All ICT developments progressed through project<br/>management methodology which includes risk logs and Data<br/>Protection Impact Assessments are undertaken as required.</li> <li>The majority of devices are now encrypted and<br/>authorisation process in place for unencrypted devices.</li> <li>Secure email and Objective Connect available for secure<br/>data sharing</li> <li>Secure email blueprint (including TLS) now implemented</li> <li>Protective Marking to be rolled out in SWS in 2019</li> <li>Site and Information Security Audit programme in place for<br/>SWS establishments and services</li> <li>Containment process in place for accidental email breach</li> <li>Staff briefings on data protection (GDPR) and information<br/>security briefings issued regularly</li> <li>Use of is2a and/or is2b procedure and forms for staff<br/>removing data from offices</li> <li>Temporary security policies put in place due to the impact<br/>of COVID-19 are reviewed on a 4 weekly basis by the<br/>Information Security Board</li> </ul>  | 4          |             |
| 544 | statutory requirements  | RISK: Failure to meet statutory Health & Safety<br>requirements<br>CAUSE: Personnel fail to follow procedures;<br>personal are not appropriately trained<br>EFFECT: major loss of service through<br>establishment fire, major catastrophe or<br>infections; or singular catastrophic incidents<br>which could result in death or serious injury of<br>service users and/or staff. | Christina<br>Heuston | 5                | 4          | 20          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Service is a member of the Council's Asbestos Strategic<br/>Management Group that montors actions regarding the<br/>management of Asbestos. The Service has appointed a<br/>Health and Safety Co-ordinator who actively monitors the<br/>arrangements for the control of Asbestos, Service Control of<br/>Abestos Management Standard issues June 2014</li> <li>The Service has replaced a number of older buildings<br/>containing Asbestos with new buildings (asbestos banned<br/>from use in new buildings) reducing the possible esxposure.</li> <li>Departmental Health &amp; Safety Policy &amp; manuals</li> <li>Fire safety management system.</li> <li>H&amp;S risk assessment processes, e.g. fire, legionella,<br/>alarms etc.</li> <li>H&amp;S respond to all audit and inspection requirements.</li> <li>Emergency procedures in place for all service user<br/>accommodation</li> <li>Range of H&amp;S training in place e.g. Fire Wardens, Manual<br/>Handling etc.</li> <li>Regular Fire and Alarms Equipment testing with contracts<br/>for maintenance and checks in place.</li> <li>Monitoring of claims.</li> <li>Managing Violence at Work Policy Document and<br/>monitoring of Violent Incident reports, this monitoring has<br/>identified the need to review the Violence training for<br/>Fieldwork staff, this review is currently underway with a target<br/>date of 6 weeks</li> <li>Legionella risk managed with the assistance of CGI.</li> </ul> |            |             |

| <b>`</b>   | ont Die     | k Level | Latest Update                    |
|------------|-------------|---------|----------------------------------|
| _          |             | Risk    |                                  |
| Likelihood | Risk Rating | Level   |                                  |
| liho       | Ra          | Level   |                                  |
| bod        | atin        |         |                                  |
|            | g           |         |                                  |
| 3          | 12          | High    | October 2021 - No change to risk |
| Ŭ          | 12          | ingn    |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
| 3          | 12          | High    | October 2021 - No change to risk |
| Ũ          |             |         | Ŭ                                |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |
|            |             |         |                                  |

|    |   |   |  |                |                                |             |               | Social Care Risk Register  |                |             |               |                                  |
|----|---|---|--|----------------|--------------------------------|-------------|---------------|--|----------------|-------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Re | f | Title   | Description of Risk  | Risk Owner     |                                | ial Risk    | Level         | Control Actions  |                | ent Ris     | k Level       | Latest Update                    |
|    |   |   |  |                | Likelihood<br>Consequence<br>s | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |  | <br>Likelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level |                                  |
| 54 | 1 | Impact of failure of<br>third parties and<br>partners | RISK: contractor/partner arrangements fail<br>CAUSE: political and socio-economic factors;<br>providers' financial position; failure to comply<br>with regulatory/legislative changes<br>EFFECT: failure to deliver services appropriately<br>with a provider or other agencies leading to a<br>failure to care/protect service users; increased<br>demand on resources; financial implications. | Susanne Millar | 4 5                            | 20          | Very<br>High  | <ul> <li>Contract Management Framework.</li> <li>Contractor Risk Ratings Matrix.</li> <li>Procurement activity undertaken in accordance with written agreed procedures.</li> <li>All contractual arrangements over the approved thresholds referred to appropriate committee for approval.</li> <li>Ensuring providers/other agencies have health and safety procedures/arrangements in place</li> <li>Regular meetings with key providers regarding strategic provider related issues</li> <li>As directed by the Scottish Government, Enhanced Care Home Governance arrangements have been put in place across Greater Glasgow &amp; Clyde, with oversight from a board wide Care Home Assurance Group that reports to both the NHS Strategic Executive Group and a national Care Home Oversight Group. In Glasgow City HSCP an additional Local Care Homes Group has been established, chaired by the Interim Chief Officer, that monitors and reviews output from daily safety huddles that cover both directly provided and purchased care homes. HSCP Commissioning officers continue to be engaged with providers and are actively involved in the enhanced governance arrangements.</li> </ul> | 3              | 12          | High          | October 2021 - No change to risk |

|     |  |   |                   |    |             |             | Extrac        | t of HSCP/Health risks from Datix (29 September 2021)  |              |            |                    |             |   |
|-----|--|---|-------------------|----|-------------|-------------|---------------|--|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|---|
|     |  |   |                   | In | nitial      | Risk I      |               |  |              |            | urren              | Risk Level  |   |
| Ref | Title  | Description of Risk   | Risk Owner        |    | l ikelihood | Risk Rating | Risk<br>Level | Controls   | Consequences | Likelihood | <b>Risk Rating</b> | Risk Level  | Latest Update                             |
| 280 |  | Pageholders First Aid at Work course is currently   | MacDonald, Colin  |    | 5           | 25          | Very          | Interim measures being investigated.   | 5            | 5          | 2                  | 5 Very High | September 2021 - No change to risk score. |
|     |  | not available due to Covid and pageholders<br>across Leverndale Hospital are due refresher<br>training.   |                   |    |             |             | High          | 23/12/20 - NO UPDATE AVAILABLE.<br>02/07/21 - Risk unchanged.<br>29/09/21 - Risk unchanged.  |              |            |                    |             |   |
| 288 | retention of   | Shortage of Band 5 nurses across GGC inpatient<br>and community. Staff retention is poor with quick<br>and high turnover of staff. This is a national issue.  | Cribbin, Lorraine | 5  | 5           | 25          |               | Use of Bank staff and Agency staff.<br>29/09/21 - x100 Newly Qualified Nurses Band 5 nurses taking up<br>employment across services Sept-Oct 2021.<br>Centralised external recruitment campaigns ongoing for<br>recruitment of Band 5s for Mental Health inpatients and community<br>services.   | 5            | 5          | 2                  | 5 Very High | September 2021 - No change to risk score. |
| 289 | rates  | High demand from Mental Health inpatient sites<br>on Nurse bank to backfill vacancies, absence and<br>clinical risk, there can be a poor bank fill rate<br>which then escalates to Agency. This is a<br>national issue. | Cribbin, Lorraine | 5  | 5           | 25          | Very<br>High  | Staff asked to do additional hours with enhanced rate. Opened up<br>to other staff groups i.e. Allied Health Professionals; Occupational<br>Therapists/Social Care to help alleviate burden on ward.<br>29/09/21 - No change.  | 5            | 5          | 2                  | 5 Very High | September 2021 - No change to risk score. |
| 290 | 1 Staff shortages -<br>Psychotherapy                                   | Recruiting right skill mix is an issue  | MacDonald, Colin  | 5  | 5           | 25          |               | 29/03/21 Psychotherapy - reviewing skill mix; redesigning roles,<br>offering training opportunities and looking at using different grades<br>of staff.<br>02/07/21 - Risk unchanged.<br>29/09/21 - Risk unchanged.   | 5            | 5          | 2                  | 5 Very High | September 2021 - No change to risk score. |
| 290 | 2 Staff shortages -<br>Alcohol and Drug<br>Recovery<br>Services (ADRS) | Staff shortages of Band 5 /6 psychiatric nurses.  | Gaffney, Kelda    | 5  | 5           | 25          |               | <ul> <li>29/03/21 - Use of bank staff.</li> <li>02/07/21 - Trying to fill vacancies timeously citywide</li> <li>Support from Chief Nurse - Lorraine Cribbin to advertise "block booking " B5 bank staff (3 months).</li> <li>Support from Chief nurse - Lorraine Cribbin to advertise additional hours to those working in other services.</li> <li>Discussions ongoing via senior managers re alternative sources of support to mitigate risks of staff unavailability.</li> <li>Support from ADRS Practice Development Nurses to provide short notice ADRS induction to bank staff with limited experience.</li> <li>Utilising GCC and NHS absence management policies to support return to work where appropriate.</li> <li>**North East ADRS specific - Team Leads assertively contact previous ADRS employees to offer bank shifts.**</li> <li>13/09/2021 - Agency social care staff being recruited for ten week period; additional driver capacity secured to support prescription deliveries.</li> </ul> | 5            | 5          | 2                  | 5 Very High | September 2021 - No change to risk score. |

### APPENDIX A

|   |   |                  |    |        |    |              | t of HSCP/Health risks from Datix (29 September 2021)  |   |     |   |                 |   |
|---|---|------------------|----|--------|----|--------------|--|---|-----|---|-----------------|---|
|   |   | MacDa cold. C. P | -1 | nitial |    | Level        |  |   | -   | 1 | t Risk Level    | Constanting 0004. No share to sid         |
| 2903 Inpatient estate -<br>Buildings                    | Poor accommodation and admitting capacity<br>limited due to the estate being out of date and<br>insufficient accommodation available.   | MacDonald, Colin | 5  | 5      | 25 | Very<br>High | 29/03/21 - Issue has been escalated. Capital required to resolve.<br>02/07/21 - Risk unchanged.<br>29/09/21 - Risk unchanged.  | 5 | 5   | 2 | 25 Very High    | September 2021 - No change to risk score. |
| 2904 Inpatient estate -<br>fixtures and<br>fittings     | Risk of harm to patients - ligature risks from<br>fixtures and fittings.<br>Risk to organisation - potential fines from the<br>Health and Safety Executive.<br>Highlighted in recommendations from Mental<br>Welfare Commission Local Visit Reports.  | MacDonald, Colin | 5  | 5      | 25 | Very<br>High | 29/03/21 - Local mitigation measures in place to review ligature<br>points.<br>02/07/21 - Risk unchanged.<br>29/09/21 - Risk unchanged   | 5 | 5   | 2 | 25 Very High    | September 2021 - No change to risk score. |
| 2906 Disengagement/la<br>ck of contact<br>service users | There is risk of disengagement or lack of contact<br>with service users due to COVID; particularly<br>within Alcohol and Drug Recovery Services<br>(ADRS). There is lack of contact and face to face<br>appointments.<br>Risk of professions responding differently and<br>this impacting upon other professions.   | MacDonald, Colin | 5  | 5      | 25 | Very<br>High | 29/03/21 - Use of RAG to prioritise which patients should be seen.<br>02/07/21 - Risk unchanged.<br>29/09/21 - Risk unchanged.   | 5 | 5   | 2 | 25 Very High    | September 2021 - No change to risk score. |
| Age Psychiatry  | Staff shortages and recruiting people to post is an issue.  | McCormack, Colin | 5  | 5      | 25 | Very<br>High | Use of locums for Old Age Psychiatry.<br>29/09/21 - No change.   | 5 | 5 5 | 2 | i i i j i i git | September 2021 - No change to risk score. |
| 3005 Staffing<br>Shortages -<br>Psychotherapy           | Recruiting people to post with the right skill mix is an issue.   | McCormack, Colin | 5  | 5      | 25 | Very<br>High | Psychotherapy - reviewing skill mix; redesigning roles, offering training opportunities and looking at using different grades of staff. 29/09/21 - No change.  | 5 | 5 5 | 2 | 25 Very High    | September 2021 - No change to risk score. |
| 3007 Inpatient Estate -<br>buildings                    | Poor accommodation and admitting capacity<br>limited due to the estate being out of date and<br>insufficient accommodation available.   | McCormack, Colin | 5  | 5      | 25 | Very<br>High | Issue has been escalated. Capital required to resolve.<br>29/09/21 - No change.  | 5 | 5 5 | 2 | 25 Very High    | September 2021 - No change to risk score. |
| 3008 Inpatient Estate -<br>fixture and fittings         | Risk of harm to patients - ligature risks from<br>fixture and fittings.<br>Risk to organisation - potential fines from Health<br>and Safety Executive.<br>Highlighted in recommendations from Mental<br>Welfare Commission Local Visits.  | McCormack, Colin | 5  | 5      | 25 | Very<br>High | Local mitigation measures in place to review ligature points.<br>29/09/21 - No change.   | 5 | 5 5 | 2 | 25 Very High    | September 2021 - No change to risk score. |
| 3084 Serious Adverse<br>Event Reviews                   | Risk - lack of capacity to complete Serious<br>Adverse Event Reviews's within timescales.<br>Cause - lack of staff to undertake reviews/high<br>volume of Serious Adverse Event Reviews's in<br>system, including existing backlog.<br>Effect - impact on outcomes for families affected;<br>impact on system wide learning; and also<br>meeting policy requirements. | Hayes, Janet     | 5  | 5      | 25 | Very<br>High | 13/09/21 - Communications issued to consultants reminding them<br>that consultants are not required to be lead reviewer but can be on<br>review team; this will allow capacity from other professions to<br>support the process. Psychology have offered assistance to lead<br>reviews. Report being prepared to enhance admin support and to<br>conduct an external review of process Board wide. Additional<br>external reviewers being recruited to complete reviews. New<br>Serious Adverse Event Reviews Clinical Support Lead post in<br>place and will also support review teams with clearing backlog. | 5 | 5   | 2 | 25 Very High    | September 2021 - No change to risk score. |

| Extract of HSCP/Health risks from Datix (29 September 2021) |   |                    |      |       |  |                    |   |    |           |   |
|---|---|--------------------|------|-------|--|--------------------|---|----|-----------|---|
|   |   | Initial Risk Level |      |       |  | Current Risk Level |   |    |           |   |
| beds  | Risk - x4 Accident and Emergency Department<br>beds within Armadale Ward does not meet<br>demand. Patient care compromised across MH<br>wards and Acute. Obs levels are unmanageable<br>within wards, issues with errors with meal plans.<br>Cause - Demands on staffing in Acute and<br>Mental Health wards is significant for Accident<br>and Emergency Department Beds patients.<br>Effect - Obs levels unmanageable in general<br>wards, staffing deficits are impacting capacity<br>and treatment plans. | Gaffney, Kelda 5   | 4 20 | nığıı | Weekly Multi disciplinary team monitoring patient care.<br>Community team in-reaching to wards.<br>Violence reduction unit supporting ward staff.<br>Review current staffing levels.<br>Explore Eating Disorder specific ward.<br>29/09/21 - Accident and Emergency Departments – looking at an<br>alternative ward for Emergency Department beds and in the<br>meantime are increasing community workforce capacity with new<br>Scottish Government monies on a temporary basis to mitigate<br>risks, to support patients who cannot be admitted to a bed and to<br>discharge more timeously  | 5                  | 4 | 20 |           | September 2021 - No change to risk score. |
| 3014 Public Injecting                                       | People who inject drugs in public spaces results<br>in increased health risks to individuals from<br>unsafe injecting practices and to adverse<br>community impacts. A full public health needs<br>assessment of People who inject drugs in public<br>places is contained in the Taking away the<br>Chaos Report<br>https://www.nhsggc.org.uk/media/238302/nhsggc<br>_health_needs_drug_injectors_full.pdf  | Gaffney, Kelda 5   | 5 25 | nığıı | "City centre outreach teams including mobile Injecting Equipment<br>Provision, Waverly Care, Abbey Chemist to provide Blood Bourne<br>Virus testing and rapid referral to supporting Blood Bourne Virus<br>services, assessment of injecting risk, naloxone and wound<br>management (Wound care, assessmnet of injecting risk, naloxone<br>and Dried Blood Spots testing initiative) .<br>Injection Equipment Provision's provide clean injecting equipment,<br>advice on safe injecting, harm reduction and safe disposal bins to<br>all people injecting drugs and accept used returns.<br>Ongoing monitoring of discarded needles and public injecting with<br>a rapid multi-agency response to deal with incoming complaints.<br>Close monitoring and engagement with wider partners re<br>geographical hot spots e.g. Albion Street Car Park.<br>Liaison between Outreach teams and Alcohol and Drug Recovery<br>Services to engage and maintain people in treatment and care<br>services.<br>Implementation of Taking Away the Chaos Recommendations<br>where legally permitted."<br>29/09/21 - A crisis team have recently been developed to respond<br>rapidly to people who experience a near-fatal overdose. | 5                  | 4 | 20 | Very High | September 2021 - No change to risk score. |

### APPENDIX A